

Growing durum demand in SA: gross margin sensitivity analysis trials UA415



COONALPYN, ROSEWORTHY, SANDERSTON, WANDEREAH, YEELANNA 2016

This research was proudly funded by the South Australian Grain Industry Trust

SAGIT ... SA grain growers funding research solutions www.sagit.com.au

Table 1. Summary of calculations for gross margins in the 2016 bread wheat and durum wheat trials conducted as part of UA415 sponsored through SAGIT.

BREAD / DU	RUM GROSS MAR	GIN ANALYSIS					2016
		Low Yield	Low Yield	High Yield	High Yield	Average	Actual
		Low Price	High Price	Low Price	High Price	GM	Best GM
Coonalpyn	Bread	702	862	802	980	817	912
	Durum	1462	1737	1816	2146	1834	2035
Roseworthy	Bread	686	823	1095	1290	929	1095
	Durum	1697	1697	2176	2176	2019	2176
Sanderston	Bread	819	961	892	1044	947	1044
	Durum	258	1246	294	1357	1060	1357
Wandereah	Bread	380	475	562	684	539	684
	Durum	1164	1164	1385	1385	1284	1385
Yeelanna	Bread	359	629	548	898	655	790
	Durum	303	1067	353	1191	711	1067

Notes: The calculations for these gross margin figures can be found in the supporting documents. When assessing the gross margins the following points should be noted:

- 1. Input prices are as charged to the durum breeding group, and are in general higher than a farmer would pay due to product size.
- 2. Delivery charges and rail freight are to the nearest silo, and have been taken from either Viterra or AWB websites.
- 3. It is assumed all durum would be delivered to Balaklava, unless it only made feed quality in which case it would go to the nearest silo.
- 4. If screenings was the only limiting factor to a higher grade being paid, a cost of \$14 per tonne was deducted and the yield lowered to the amount it would be with 5% screenings. No value was placed on screenings. This makes the assumption that the protein will not drop with the removal of screenings.
- 5. These calculations do not consider a carry-over price or put a value on the need to store grain on farm, it only looks at a hectare of crop in the field.
- 6. At Sanderston, the farmer applied a protective application of rust control which was applied to both bread and durum. The durum did not need this spray and it has not been included in the cost of production.
- 7. The high, low, and average refer to the 4 durum and 4 bread wheat varieties grown in these trials.

A short summary for each trial site is listed below. Table 1 outlines the gross margins obtained for each site and whether durum or bread wheat had the highest gross margin at each site. This table should be viewed with the UA415 excel supplementary spreadsheet that shows all working calculations including test weights, screenings, protein and yield and grades assigned to each variety at each site based on the quality results.

COONALPYN

- The site was sown into good soil moisture at the same time as the farmer sowed the surrounding crop.
- Yields were exceptional across all varieties with the 2 best varieties achieving top quality (DR1), although protein did fall off with the other 6 varieties. The much higher price of durum saw a significantly higher gross margin than what the bread wheat achieved.
- No obvious signs of stress during the season.
- Emu Rock (H1) and DBA-Aurora (DR1) had the highest gross margins and are the varieties compared in the attached.
- At this site, the table shows that durum had a significantly higher GM than the bread wheat across all yield / price combinations.

ROSEWORTHY

- The site was sown into slightly dry soil around the same time as the surrounding crop.
- The site received good rainfall from shortly after sowing and was not under any moisture stress for the rest of the year.
- Both durum and bread wheat yielded very similar to each other.
- Trojan (APW) gave the best bread wheat gross margin (even though it is only an APW variety). All durum varieties made DR1 quality, in part due to the high rate on nitrogen (96 kg of N) applied later in the year. WID802 slightly out-yielded DBA-Aurora and Yawa.
- Across all yield / price combinations the durum had a significantly higher gross margin.

SANDERSTON

- The site was sown into minimal soil moisture, and all varieties struggled until the season improved in late May. From this point on moisture was not an issue and good yields were achieved.
- The bread wheat varieties out-yielded the durum varieties by nearly 30%.
- This yield difference was in part due to the bread wheat being able to recover more quickly from the dry start.
- The good finish saw good quality grains from both bread and durum wheat varieties, with the exception being Yawa (having a very low test weight).
- The yield difference meant that the durum varieties' gain in gross margin was not as large as some sites, but the low value of bread wheat saw the durum have a comfortable advantage over the bread wheat varieties in several of the yield / price combinations (excluding low yield/low price and high yield/low price).

WANDEREAH

- The site was sown slightly earlier than the surrounding crop, although not early by district practice.
- The trial was sown dry and received good opening rains in the next 2-3 days.
- A very good end to the season saw yield and quality for both bread and durum wheat varieties to be very good, with all achieving their top grade.
- Both bread and durum wheat varieties had very similar yields, so the gross margin difference is a straight reflection of the much higher durum price.
- Bread wheat ranged from 3.8 t ha⁻¹ (Emu Rock) to 4.9 t ha⁻¹ (Cobra), while the durum variety yields ranged from 4.1 t ha⁻¹ (Tamaroi) to 4.7 t ha⁻¹ (DBA-Aurora).

YEELANNA

- This site was sown around the same time as the surrounding crop and enjoyed good soil moisture all through the season.
- On the down-side, and largely due to the distance from Adelaide, this site was the last one harvested and endured heavy rainfalls through late December which severely affected the quality.
- The gross margins were much closer at this site due to the lower prices achieved, but in most yield / price combinations the durum showed a significantly higher figure.
- In reality, if durum was being grown in a season like this it would have been harvested before the
 bread wheat due to its higher value and would not have been affected by the late rains at the end of
 December.
- Protein achievement for the durum varieties at this site was disappointing.

SUMMARY

- The year was exceptional across all sites with very good yields being achieved.
- Due to bread wheat staying close to \$200 per tonne and durum contracts (San Remo area-based)
 offered at approximately \$400, it was always going to be extremely difficult for bread wheat varieties
 to achieve a similar gross margin.
- The season did show that it is possible to economically achieve high yields and good protein as shown at Roseworthy, but if sown into the right paddock with a good history high yields and good quality can be achieved with no added nitrogen as shown at Sanderston.
- Even though it was an exceptionally wet season, there was little rust detected and this has improved the gross margin obtained for bread wheat varieties as spraying was not needed (with the exception of Sanderston where a spray was applied).
- With another favourable season in 2017, it is expected that the gross margins will be similarly high for the durum varieties over the bread wheat varieties; even though it is expected that the price for durum may be lower than the 2016 contracted price.

COONALPY	N BREA	\D				2016		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha		\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	6.7	
Oroco Notarri		6.70		218			1460.6		6.7	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
Marketing Charge	s									
Tatal David Dail		6.7		40.00			224 464	Current Control	1460.6	
Total - Road, Rail and Delivery Fees		6.7		49.92			334.464 0			
and belivery rees		6.7		0			0		334.404	
3		6.7		0			0		1126 136	
-		0.7						Neer dyment	1120.130	
		Total Cha	rges	49.92			334.464	Harvest Payment	1126.136	100%
								Post Harvest	0	09
		Net Price		168.08			1126.136	Pool Remaining	0	09
Variable Costs		Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
Seed		68	kg / ha	0.218	\$ / kg		14.824		15	
Seed Dressing			mL/Ha		\$/L		3.5		4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg / ha		\$/T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		0		0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha	20	\$/L		2.5		3	
Chemical 6	Tilt	0	L/ha	16	\$/L		0		0	
Insurance		1%					14.6		15	
Freight		6.7	T/ha	0	\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
			Γotal On F	arm Varia	hle Costs :		213.73	Total Variable Costs :	214	
				l vana			213.73	Total Vallable Code .	217	
								Cash Gross Margin :	912	
								Plus Grain Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		912.41	Total Gross Margin :	912	
				GIOSS IVIA	igiii / iia .		312.41	Total Gloss margin .	312	
Break Even Yield	:	0.98								
Break Even Price	:	31.90								
Sensitivity Analysi	s		(Calo	culated on	213.73	variable co	osts)			
Shows approximat	e GM/Ha f	or High, Av	erage and	Low yields	and prices	achieved	in trials			
Does not take into	account cl	hanges to i	nsurance o	r freight a		nges - wou	ld have a m	ninor effect on GM		
		t / Ha	193							
High Yield		7.1								
Average Yield		6.70								
Low Yield		6.4								

COONAL	PYN DI	URUM				2016		Total Product	ion HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes F	Produced :	6.3	
		6.30		400			2520	Tor	nes Sold :	6.3	
								Tonnes	Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges										
Total, include		6.3		27.35			172.305		Gross Cash	2520	
and rail freigh		6.3		0			0	Total Mark	eting Cost	172.305	
delivery / EPF		6.3		0			0				
4		6.3		0			0	Ne:	t Payment	2347.695	
		Total Cha	rnes	27.35			172.305	Harves	t Payment	2347 695	100%
		Total Ona	iges	27.33			172.303		st Harvest	0	09
		Net Price		372.65			2347.695		Remaining	0	0%
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
Seed		80	kg / ha	0.4	\$ / kg		32			32	
Seed Dressing	<u> </u>		kg / ha		\$ / kg		0			0	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg / ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		I/ha		\$/1000L		75			75	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	MCPA A		L/ha		\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125			\$/L		2.5			3	
Insurance	20110101	1%			¥/-		25.2			25	
Freight			T / ha	0	\$/T		0			0	
Fuel			L/ha		\$/L		13.5			14	
		7	Γotal On Fa	arm Varial	ole Costs:		313	Total Varial	ole Costs :	313	
								Cash Gros	s Margin :	2035	
								Plus Grain I		0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		2034.70	Total Gros	s Margin :	2035	
Break Even Y	ield :	0.78									
Break Even P	rice :	49.68									
			,		215						
Sensitivity Ar	alysis		(Cald	culated on	313	variable co	osts)				
Shows approx Does not take				nce or frei	ght as yield			e a minor effect on G	6M		
				Price \$ / t							
		t / Ha	350								
High Yield		6.6									
Average Yield	a	6.18									
Low Yield		5.5	1462	1599	1737						

ROSEWO	PRTHY	BREAD				2016		Total Produc	tion HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha		\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes	Produced :	7.8	
Oross Neturn		7.80		208			1622.4		nnes Sold :	7.8	
								Tonnes	Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges										
Total - include	es rail,	7.8		29.84			232.752		Gross Cash	1622.4	
road freight a	ınd	7.8		0			0	Total Mark	eting Cost	232.752	
recieval fees		7.8		0			0				
4		7.8		0			0	Ne	t Payment	1389.648	
		Total Cha	rges	29.84			232.752	Harves	t Payment	1389.648	100%
									ost Harvest	0	0%
		Net Price		178.16			1389.648	Pool	Remaining	0	0%
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
Seed		ES	kg / ha	0.208	\$ / kg		14.144			14	
Seed Dressing	σ		mL/Ha		\$/ L		3.5			4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg / ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$/1000L		75			75	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	LVE		L/ha		\$/L		11			11	
Chemical 5	Lontrel		L/ha		\$/L		1.5			2	
Chemical 6	Tilt		L/ha		\$/L		0			0	
Insurance		1%					16.2			16	
Freight		7.8	T/ha	0	\$/T		0			0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5			14	
		-	Fotal On E	arm Varia	hla Casts :		294.17	Total Varia	bla Casts :	294	
		'	IOIAI OII F	aiiii vaiia	DIE COSIS :		294.17	Total Valla	Die Costs .	294	
								Cash Gros	ss Margin:	1095	
								Plus Grain	Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		1095.48	Total Gros	ss Margin :	1095	
Break Even Y	/ield :	1.41									
Break Even F	Price:	37.71									
0			/		204.17						
Sensitivity Ar				culated on		variable co	Ĺ				
Shows approx									20.4		
Does not take	into acco	unt change	s to insura			d changes -	would hav	ve a minor effect on (ΙΜ		
		4/11-	202	Price \$ / 1							
High Yield		t / Ha 7.8	208 1095								
Average Yiel	d										
Low Yield	u	6.48									
LOW HEID		5.5	080	/45	823						

ROSEWO	K I HY I	UUKUM				2016		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return	,	Yield t / Ha		\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	7.30	
		7.30		400			2920	Tonnes Sold :	7.30	
								Tonnes Retained :	0.00	
Marketing Ch	arges									
Total - include	es road	7.30		32			233.60	Gross Cash	2920.00	
and rail freigh		7.30		0			0.00		233.60	
delivery / EPF		7.30		0			0.00			
Cleaning		7.30		0			0.00	Net Payment	2686.40	
		Total Cha	rges	32			233.60	Harvest Payment	2686.40	1009
		Net Price		368			2686.40	Post Harvest Pool Remaining	0.00	09 09
				300			2000110		0.00	
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
Seed			kg / ha		\$ / kg		14.824		15	
Seed Dressing	g		kg / ha		\$ / kg		0		0	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN	375	I/ha		\$/1000L		281.25		281	
Chemical 1	Ultramax	2	L/ha		\$/L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker	0.15	L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo	1.8	L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	LVE		L/ha	11	\$/L		11		11	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.075	L/ha	20	\$/L		1.5		2	
Chemical 6	Tilt	0	L/ha	16	\$/L		0		0	
Insurance		1%					29.2		29	
Freight		7.3	T/ha	0	\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
		1	Total On Fa	arm Varial	ole Costs :		510.57	Total Variable Costs :	511	
								Cash Gross Margin:	2176	
								Plus Grain Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		2175.83	Total Gross Margin :	2176	
Break Even Y	ield :	1.28								
Break Even F	Price :	69.94								
Sensitivity Ar				culated on		variable co				
Shows approx								als ve a minor effect on GM		
_303 HOL take				Price \$ / t		. changes		C C I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
		t / Ha	400	400						
High Yield		7.3								
Average Yiel	d	6.88								
Low Yield		6	1697	1697	1697					

SANDER	STONI	BREAD				2016		Total Produc	tion HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes	Produced :	6.1	
Oross rectain		6.10		227			1384.7		nnes Sold :	6.1	
									Retained :		
								Tonnes	Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges										
Total, include	s road	6.1		19.45			118.645		Gross Cash	1384.7	
and rail freigh	nt, and	6.1		0			0	Total Mark	eting Cost	118.645	
delivery fees		6.1		0			0				
4		6.1		0			0	Ne	t Payment	1266.055	
		Total Cha	rges	19.45			118.645	Harves	t Payment	1266.055	100%
									st Harvest	0	0%
		Net Price		207.55			1266.055	Pool	Remaining	0	0%
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
Seed		68	kg / ha	0 227	\$ / kg		15.436			15	
Seed Dressing	g		mL/Ha		\$/L		3.5			4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg / ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		0			0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker	0.15	L/ha	50	\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo	1.8	L/ha	20	\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	МСРА	0.5	L/ha	11	\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha	20	\$/L		2.5			3	
Chemical 6	Tilt	0.5	L/ha	16	\$/L		8			8	
Insurance		1%					13.8			14	
Freight		6.1	T/ha	0	\$/T		0			0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5			14	
			Total On F	arm Varia	hle Costs :		222	Total Varia	hle Costs :	222	
			otal on i	ann vana	DIC 003.3 .			Total Valla	DIC 00313.	222	
									s Margin :	1044	
								Plus Grain	Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		1044	Total Gros	ss Margin :	1044	
Break Even Y	ield :	0.98									
Break Even P	Price :	36.33									
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cal	culated on	222	variable co	osts)				
Shows approx	imate GM	1/Ha for Hig	h. Average	e and Low v	ields and	prices achie	eved in tria	als			
				nce or frei	ght as yield			ve a minor effect on (ЭM		
			000	Price \$ / 1							
Link Viala		t / Ha	202								
High Yield	<u> </u>	6.1	892								
Average Yield	u	5.925									
Low Yield		5.7	819	903	961						

SANDER	STON	DURUM				2016		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha		\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	4.3	
Gross Return		4.30		400					4.3	
		4.30		400			1720	Tollies sold .	4.3	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	narges									
Total - road fr	reight	4.3		32.15			138.245	Gross Cash	1720	
delivery and		4.3		0			0		138.245	
3		4.3		0			0	i	200.2.10	
4		4.3		0			0		1581.755	
		Total Cha	rges	32.15			138.245	Harvest Payment	1581.755	100%
								Post Harvest	0	0%
		Net Price		367.85			1581.755	Pool Remaining	0	0%
Variable Cos	its	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
Seed			kg/ha		\$ / kg		27.2		27	
Seed Dressing	1		kg/ha		\$ / kg		0		0	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		I/ha		\$/ 1000L		0.0		0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	LVE		L/ha		\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha	20	\$/L		2.5		3	
Insurance		1%	_ ,.	_	4		17.2		17	
Freight			T / ha		\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
		_			h.l. O		225.2	Taral Variable Outs	225	
		'	otal On F	arm varia	ble Costs:		225.2	Total Variable Costs :	225	
								Cash Gross Margin :	1357	
								Plus Grain Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		1356.555	Total Gross Margin :	1357	
Break Even Y	/ield :	0.56								
Break Even F	Price :	52.37								
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cal	culated on	225.2	variable co	osts)			
Shows approx	ximate GN	 /Ha for Hig	h, Average	and Low y	yields and	prices achie	eved in tria	als		
				nce or frei	ght as yield			ve a minor effect on GM		
		4 / U≏	153	Price \$ / t 338.25						
High Viola		t / Ha 4.3	153 294							
High Yield Average Yiel		4.3	294							
Low Yield	u 	4.20	258							
LOW HEIG		4	238	999	1240					

WANDER	САП В	NEAD				2016		Total Product	IIOII MA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes I	Produced :	4.9	
		4.90		226			1107.4		nnes Sold :	4.9	
								Tonnes	Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges										
Total - include		4.9		35.67			174.78		Gross Cash	1107.4	
and rail freigh	1	4.9		0			0		eting Cost	174.783	
delivery fees		4.9		0			0				
4		4.9		0			0	Ne	t Payment	932.617	
		Total Cha	raes	35.67			174.78	Harves	t Payment	932.617	1009
									st Harvest	0	0
		Net Price		190.33			932.62	Pool I	Remaining	0	09
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
Seed		60	kg/ha	0.226	\$ / kg		15.368			15	
Seed Dressing	n .		mL/Ha		\$/ Kg \$/ L		3.5			4	
Seed Dressing Fertiliser	DAP		kg / ha		\$/L \$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		37.5			38	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	LVE		L/ha		\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha		\$/L		2.5			3	
Chemical 6	Tilt	0	L/ha	16	\$/L		0			0	
Insurance		1%					11.1			11	
Freight		4.9	T/ha	0	\$/T		0			0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5			14	
			Fatal On F	arm Varia	ala Casta .		248.24	Total Varia	his Costs .	248	
			lotal On F	arm variai	oie Costs :		248.24	iotai variai	bie Costs :	248	
								Cash Gros	s Margin:	684	
								Plus Grain	Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		684.38	Total Gros	s Margin :	684	
Break Even Y	/ield :	1.10									
Break Even P	Price :	50.66									
oun Evoil I		30.00									
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cal	culated on	248.24	variable cos	sts)				
Shows approx									204		
Does not take	mio accoi	unt change	s to insura	Price \$ / t		a crianges - V	voula nav	ve a minor effect on C	ΙVI		
		t / Ha	201		226						
High Yield		4.9	562	654	684						
Average Yiel	d	4.275	459	539	565						
		3.8	380	451	475						

WANDER	EAH D	URUM				2016		Total Product	ion HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes P	roduced :	4.7	
		4.70		400			1880		nes Sold :	4.7	
								Tonnes I	Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges										
Total - includ	es road	4.7		32.15			151.11		Gross Cash	1880	
freight, deliv		4.7		0			0.00	Total Mark		151.105	
and EPR		4.7		0			0.00		Ū		
4		4.7		0			0.00	Net	Payment	1728.895	
		Total Cha	rges	32.15			151.11	Harvest	Payment	1728.895	100
								Po	st Harvest	0	0
		Net Price		367.85			1728.90	Pool F	Remaining	0	09
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
							·				
Seed			kg / ha		\$ / kg		32			32	
Seed Dressin	g		kg/ha		\$ / kg		0			0	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		I/ha		\$/ 1000L		112.5			113	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha	20	\$/L		2.5			3	
Insurance		1%	- /:		A / =		18.8			19	
Freight			T/ha		\$/T		0			0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5			14	
							24440			244	
			Total On Fa	arm Varial	ole Costs :		344.10	Total Variab	ole Costs :	344	
								Cash Gros		1385	
								Plus Grain F	Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		1384.80	Total Gros	s Margin :	1385	
Break Even \	/ield :	0.86									
Break Even F	Price ·	73.21									
Dican Evell F		73.21									
Sensitivity A	nalysis		(Cald	culated on	344.1	variable co	osts)				
Shows approx											
Does not take	into acco	unt change		nce or frei		changes -	would hav	e a minor effect on G	iM		
		t / Ha	400	400							
High Yield		4.7	1385								
Average Yiel	d	4.43									
		4.1	1164								

YEELAN	NA BRE	AD				2016		Total Producti	on HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha		\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Pr	oduced :	6	
Oroco rectarii		6.00		206			1236		es Sold :	6	
								Tonnes R	etained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges										
Total - include	es road and	6		26.68			160.08	Gi	oss Cash	1236	
rail freight an	d delivery	6		0			0	Total Marke	ting Cost	160.08	
3		6		0			0				
4		6		0			0	Net	Payment	1075.92	
		Total Cha	rges	26.68			160.08	Harvest	Payment	1075.92	100%
		Total Olia	.goo	20.00			100.00		t Harvest	0	09
		Net Price		179.32			1075.92	Pool Re	emaining	0	0%
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
Seed		68	kg / ha	0,206	\$ / kg		14.008			14	
Seed Dressing	<u> </u>		mL/Ha		\$/ L		3.5			4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		75			75	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha		\$/L		2.5			3	
Chemical 6	Tilt	0	L/ha		\$/L		0			0	
Insurance		1%					12.4			12	
Freight		6.0	T/ha	0	\$/T		0			0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5			14	
		1	Γotal On F	arm Varial	ble Costs:		285.67	Total Variabl	e Costs :	286	
								Cash Gross		790	
								Plus Grain R	etained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		790.25	Total Gross	Margin :	790	
Break Even Y	ield :	1.39									
Break Even P	Price :	47.61									
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Calo	culated on	286	variable co	osts)				
Shows approx	imate GM/	⊥ Ha for High	, Average	and Low vi	elds and pr	rices achiev	ed in trial	S			
								a minor effect on GM			
				Price \$ / t							
		t / Ha									
High Yield		6.6									
Average Yield	d	5.83									
Low Yield		5.1	359	538	629						

YEELAN	NA DUF	KUM				2016		Total Product	ion HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	<u> </u>	\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes P	roduced :	5.2	
		5.20		300			1560		nes Sold :	5.2	
								Tonnes F	Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges										
Total - includ	os road	5.2		52.35			272.22		Gross Cash	1560	
freight, deliv		5.2		0			0.00	Total Marke		272.22	
and EPR	CI y	5.2		0			0.00	TOtal Ivial K	etilig Cost	212.22	
4		5.2		0			0.00	Net	Payment	1287.78	
		5.2					0.00	1400	. i dyillelle	1207.70	
		Total Cha	raes	52.35			272.22	Harvest	Payment	1287.78	100
			J						st Harvest	0	0'
		Net Price		247.65			1287.78		emaining	0	09
Variable Cos	te	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
variable COS		wuanniy	Oilit	ψ/ UIIIL	Oillt		Ψ				
Seed		80	kg / ha	0.3	\$ / kg		24			24	
Seed Dressin	g	0	kg / ha	50	\$ / kg		0			0	
Fertiliser	DAP	100	kg/ha	998	\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN	0	l/ha		\$/ 1000L		0			0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax	2	L/ha	8	\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker	0.15	L/ha	50	\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo	1.8	L/ha	20	\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha	20	\$/L		2.5			3	
Insurance		1%					15.6			16	
Freight			T/ha		\$/T		0			0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5			14	
		7	Total On Fa	arm Varia	ole Costs :		220.4	Total Variab	ole Costs :	220	
								Cash Gross		1067	
								Plus Grain F	Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		1067.38	Total Gross	s Margin :	1067	
Break Even \	/ield :	0.73									
Break Even F	Price :	42.38									
Sensitivity A	nalysis		(Cald	culated on	220.4	variable co	osts)				
Shows approx											
Does not take	into acco	unt change	s to insura	nce or frei		d changes -	would hav	e a minor effect on G	M		
		t / Ha	153								
High Yield		5.7	353	772	1191						
Average Yiel	d	5.35	318	711	1105						
		5.2	303	685	1067						