

Growing durum demand in SA: gross margin sensitivity analysis trials UA415



COONALPYN, ROSEWORTHY, SANDERSTON, WANDEREAH, YEELANNA 2015

This research was proudly funded by the South Australian Grain Industry Trust

SAGIT ... SA grain growers funding research solutions www.sagit.com.au

Table 1. Summary of calculations for gross margins in the 2015 bread wheat and durum wheat trials conducted as part of UA415 sponsored through SAGIT.

BREAD / DU	RUM GROSS MA	ARGIN ANALYSIS					2015
		Low Yield	Low Yield	High Yield	High Yield	Average	Actual
		Low Price	High Price	Low Price	High Price	GM	Best GM
Coonalpyn	Bread	33	71	272	349	147	272
	Durum	135	212	383	514	338	447
Roseworthy	Bread	490	505	546	561	528	546
	Durum	545	684	623	776	655	650
Sanderston	Bread	928	954	1078	1107	1018	1078
	Durum	919	981	1239	1318	1140	1249
Wandereah	Bread	564	579	643	660	627	660
	Durum	292	675	325	732	435	675
Yeelanna	Bread	724	948	938	1214	1022	1068
	Durum	987	1191	1344	1608	1253	1344

Notes: The calculations for these gross margin figures can be found in the supporting documents. When assessing the gross margins the following points should be noted:

- 1. Input prices are as charged to the durum breeding group, and are in general higher than a farmer would pay due to product size.
- 2. Delivery charges and rail freight are to the nearest silo, and have been taken from either Viterra or AWB websites.
- 3. It is assumed all durum would be delivered to Balaclava, unless it only made feed quality in which case it would go to the nearest silo.
- 4. If screenings was the only limiting factor to a higher grade being paid, a cost of \$14 per tonne was deducted and the yield lowered to the amount it would be with 5% screenings. No value was placed on screenings. This makes the assumption that the protein will not drop with the removal of screenings.
- 5. These calculations do not consider a carry-over price or put a value on the need to store grain on farm, it only looks at a hectare of crop in the field.
- 6. At Sanderston, the farmer applied a protective application of rust control which was applied to both bread and durum. The durum did not need this spray and it has not been included in the cost of production.
- 7. The high, low, and average refer to the 4 durum and 4 bread wheat varieties grown in these trials.
- 8. In all trials, a supply problem with a custom made sprayer to apply UAN to individual rows had an impact of what could be achieved this year. This has been rectified for the 2016 season.

A short summary for each trial site is listed below. Table 1 outlines the gross margins obtained for each site and whether durum or bread wheat had the highest gross margin at each site. This table should be viewed with the UA415 excel supplementary spreadsheet that shows all working calculations including test weights, screenings, protein and yield and grades assigned to each variety at each site based on the quality results.

COONALPYN

- Sown very early when compared to local practices.
- Suffered severe moisture stress in spring.
- Trojan (ASW) and DBA-Aurora (DR2) had the highest gross margins and are the varieties compared in the attached, with both being low in quality due to lack of opportunity to apply N late.
- At this site, the table shows that durum had a higher GM than the bread wheat across all yield / price combinations.

ROSEWORTHY

- This trial was sown at a similar time to other bread wheat crops in the area, and also suffered moisture stress in spring.
- The site also experienced some frost, although it was worse in lower areas than where this trial was located.
- Trojan (GP) and DBA-Aurora (DR1) had the highest gross margins. All varieties had quality issues.
- Across all yield / price combinations the durum had a higher return.

SANDERSTON

- This site was visited by SAGIT staff and was one of the best sites throughout the season.
- High yields were obtained across all varieties.
- The finish was a bit softer than some areas and this showed in the quality.
- Trojan (APW) and WID802 (DR1) were the higher returning varieties, with no quality issues for either.
- Despite bread wheat averaging over 5 t ha⁻¹ compared to the durum under 4 t ha⁻¹, the higher market price for durum meant that it still returned a higher GM across all yield / price combinations (apart from the low yield / low price).

WANDEREAH

- The site was sown at the same time as the bread wheat crop around it. The site enjoyed a reasonable season with a tight finish which favoured the bread wheat.
- Test weight and protein caused quality issues across all varieties.
- Trojan (APW) and Tamaroi (DR3) were the highest returning varieties.
- The yield / price combinations varied between the 2 types of wheats, with the durum being worth more when the price was higher (better quality). Tamaroi returned the highest gross margin, even though it had the lowest yield of all 8 varieties.

YEELANNA

- The site was sown early by local standards, and had a good season with an average finish.
- Quality was good across all varieties, although the protein was down due to the higher yield.
- Trojan (ASW) and Yawa (DR3) were the highest returning varieties.
- The ability to apply late nitrogen would have had a large impact on the return of the durum.
- Even with lower quality, the durum had a higher GM return across all price / yield combinations.

SUMMARY

- The year favoured early sown crops as most of the state had a dry finish.
- Sowing early meant the bread wheat varieties had a better opportunity to finish than they possibly would have if sown at normal district times.
- There was little rust throughout the season and this has improved the gross margin obtained for bread wheat varieties as spraying was not needed (with the exception of Sanderston where a spray was applied).
- Under less than ideal conditions the best durum variety still managed to have a higher gross margin than the best bread wheat, irrespective of the site. At some sites this was not a noticeable difference (\$15 per Ha at Wandereah) but it does show that in less than ideal conditions it still brings comparable returns to bread wheat.
- With a favourable season in 2016, it is expected that the gross margins will be significantly more in durum over all bread wheat varieties evaluated.

COONALPYI	N BREA	ND				2015		Total Product	ion HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes P	roduced :	2.64	
		2.64		231			609.84		nes Sold :	2.64	
								Tonnes F	Retained :	0	
Marketing Charge	S										
Total - Road, Rail		2.64		49.92			131.7888	G	ross Cash	609.84	
and Delivery Fees		2.64		0			0	Total Marke	eting Cost	131.7888	
3		2.64		0			0				
4		2.64		0			0	Net	Payment	478.0512	
		Total Cha	rges	49.92			131.7888	Harvest	Payment	478.0512	1009
								Pos	st Harvest	0	09
		Net Price		181.08			478.0512	Pool R	emaining	0	09
Variable Costs		Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
Seed		68	kg / ha	0.231	\$ / kg		15.708			16	
Seed Dressing			mL/Ha		\$/L		3.5			4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		0			0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel		L/ha		\$/L		2.5			3	
Chemical 6	Tilt		L/ha		\$/L		0			0	
Insurance		1%			Ψ/-		6.1			6	
Freight			T / ha	0	\$/T		0.12			0	
Fuel			L/ha		\$/L		13.5			14	
		-	Γotal On F	arm Varia	ble Costs :		206.11	Total Variab	le Costs :	206	
								Cash Gross	Margin :	272	
								Plus Grain F		0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		271.94	Total Gross	Margin :	272	
Break Even Yield		0.89									
Break Even Price	:	78.07									
Sensitivity Analysi	s		(Cal	culated on	206.11	variable co	osts)				
Shows approximat	e GM/Ha f	or High, Av	erage and	Low yields	and price	s achieved	in trials				
					yield cha			ninor effect on GM			
		t / Ha	231								
High Yield		2.64									
Average Yield		1.85									
_		1.32									
Low Yield											

COONAL						2015		Total Production HA		
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	l	\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	1.79	
		1.79		400			716	Tonnes Sold :	1.79	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges									
Total, include	s road	1.79		27.35			48.9565	Gross Cash	716	
and rail freigh	nt, and	1.79		0			0	Total Marketing Cost	48.9565	
delivery / EPF	₹	1.79		0			0			
4		1.79		0			0	Net Payment	667.0435	
		Total Cha	rges	27.35			48.9565	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		100%
		Net Price		372.65			667.0435	Post Harvest Pool Remaining	0	09 09
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
			. ,,	_	A / I					
Seed			kg/ha		\$ / kg		32		32	
Seed Dressing			kg/ha		\$ / kg		00.8		100	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg / ha I/ha		\$/T		99.8 0		100	
Fertiliser 2 Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L \$/L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	MCPA A		L/ha		\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel		L/ha		\$/L		2.5		3	
Insurance		1%			T / -		7.2		7	
Freight		1.8	T / ha	0	\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
		1	Гotal On F	arm Varial	ole Costs :		219.96	Total Variable Costs :	220	
								Coch Cross Margin	447	
								Cash Gross Margin : Plus Grain Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		447.08	Total Gross Margin :	447	
Break Even Y	ield :	0.55								
Break Even F	Price :	122.88								
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cal	culated on	219.96	variable co	sts)			
Shows approx	kimate GM	/Ha for Hig	h, Average	e and Low y	rields and	prices achie	ved in tria	als		
Does not take	into acco	unt change	s to insura	nce or frei		d changes -	would hav	ve a minor effect on GM		
		t / Ha	350	392.5	420					
High Yield		1.87	383	463	514					
Average Yiel	d	1.53								
Low Yield		1.1	135	182	212					

ROSEWO						2015			ion HA	1	
Gross Return	1	Yield t / Ha	1	\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes P	roduced :	3.83	
		3.83		242			926.86	Ton	nes Sold :	3.83	
								Tonnos	Retained :	0	
								Tomes	retaineu .	0	
Marketing Ch	narges										
Total - includ	es rail,	3.83		29.84			114.2872	G	iross Cash	926.86	
road freight a	and	3.83		0			0	Total Marke	eting Cost	114.2872	
recieval fees		3.83		0			0		J		
4	1	3.83		0			0	Net	Payment	812.5728	
		Total Cha	race	29.84			114.2872	Hanvost	Paymont	812.5728	100%
		Total Clia	iges	23.04			114.2072		st Harvest	0	0%
		Net Price		212.16			812.5728		emaining	0	0%
Variable Cos	sts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
	-		2				•				
Seed			kg/ha		\$ / kg		16.456			16	
Seed Dressin	1		mL/Ha		\$/L		3.5			4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		52.5			53	
Chemical 1	Ultramax	2	L/ha	8	\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker	0.15	L/ha	50	\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo	1.8	L/ha	20	\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	LVE	1	L/ha	11	\$/L		11			11	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.075	L/ha		\$/L		1.5			2	
Chemical 6	Tilt		L/ha		\$/L		0			0	
Insurance		1%	-		T / -		9.3			9	
Freight			T / ha	0	\$/T		0			0	
Fuel			L/ha		\$/L		13.5			14	
Tuel		3	L/ IIIa	1.5	γ/ L		13.3			14	
		1	Total On F	arm Varial	ble Costs :		267.02	Total Variab	ole Costs :	267	
										- 10	
								Cash Gross Plus Grain F		546 0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		545.55	Total Gross	s Margin :	546	
Break Even	Yield :	1.10									
Break Even F	Price :	69.72									
Sensitivity A	nalysis		(Calo	culated on	267.02	variable c	osts)				
Shows appro											
Does not take	e into accoi	unt change	s to insura			d changes -	would hav	e a minor effect on G	М		
				Price \$ / t							
		t / Ha									
High Yield		3.83									
Average Yiel	ld	3.70	517	528	532						
Low Yield		3.57	490	501	505						

0.231 50 998 750 20 111	46 0 0 14 60		\$ / Ha 1076.181 123.76 0.00 0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16 7.5	Tonne: Total Mar N Harve	s Produced : connes Sold : s Retained : s Retained : Gross Cash rketing Cost let Payment Post Harvest I Remaining	2.69 2.69 0.00 1076.18 161.43 914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	100%
400 460 0 0 144 600 340 340 998 750 8 500 200 111 200 166	46 0 0 114 60 40 40 31 \$ / kg 50 \$ / kg 98 \$ / T 50 \$ / 1000L 8 \$ / L 50 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 11 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 16 \$ / L		123.76 0.00 0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	Tonne: Total Mar N Harve	Gross Cash rketing Cost let Payment Post Harvest	2.69 0.00 1076.18 161.43 914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
460 00 144 600 3400 3400 3400 3400 998 7500 8 500 200 111 200 166	46 0 0 114 60 40 40 31 \$ / kg 50 \$ / kg 98 \$ / T 50 \$ / 1000L 8 \$ / L 50 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 11 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 16 \$ / L		123.76 0.00 0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	Tonne: Total Mar N Harve	Gross Cash rketing Cost let Payment est Payment Post Harvest	0.00 1076.18 161.43 914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
0.231 50 998 750 20 111	0 0 14 60 40 with Unit Unit 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		0.00 0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	Total Mar N Harve	Gross Cash rketing Cost let Payment est Payment Post Harvest	1076.18 161.43 914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
0.231 50 998 750 20 111	0 0 14 60 40 with Unit Unit 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		0.00 0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	N Harve P	let Payment est Payment Post Harvest	914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
0.231 50 998 750 20 111	0 0 14 60 40 with Unit Unit 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		0.00 0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	N Harve P	let Payment est Payment Post Harvest	914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
0.231 50 998 750 20 111	0 0 14 60 40 with Unit Unit 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		0.00 0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	N Harve P	let Payment est Payment Post Harvest	914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
0.231 50 998 750 20 111	0 14 60 40 wit Unit Unit 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		0.00 37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	N Harve P	let Payment est Payment Post Harvest	914.75 914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
144 60 340 11 0.231 50 998 750 8 50 20 111 20 16	14 60 40 40 41 50 51 50 51 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6		37.67 161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	Harve P	est Payment Post Harvest	914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
500 200 116 000 166 00	60 40 41 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L		161.43 914.75 \$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	Harve P	est Payment Post Harvest	914.75 0.00 0.00	0%
340 \$ / Unit 0.231 50 998 750 8 50 20 111 20 16	40 it Unit 31 \$ / kg 50 \$ / kg 98 \$ / T 50 \$ / 1000L 8 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 11 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 16 \$ / L		\$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5	P	Post Harvest	0.00	0%
998 750 8 50 20 111	it Unit 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		\$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16			0.00	
998 750 8 50 20 111	it Unit 31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		\$ 15.708 0 99.8 52.5 16	Pool	I Remaining		0%
0.231 50 998 750 8 50 20 11 20	31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		15.708 0 99.8 52.5			16	
0.231 50 998 750 8 50 20 11 20	31 \$/kg 50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		15.708 0 99.8 52.5			16	
50 998 750 8 50 20 11 20	50 \$/kg 98 \$/T 50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		0 99.8 52.5 16			16	
998 750 8 50 20 111 20 16	98 \$ / T 50 \$ / 1000L 8 \$ / L 50 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 11 \$ / L 20 \$ / L 16 \$ / L		99.8 52.5 16				
750 8 50 20 11 20 16	50 \$/1000L 8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		52.5 16			0	
8 500 200 111 200 160 C	8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		16			100	
8 500 200 111 200 160 C	8 \$/L 50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L					53	
50 20 11 20 16	50 \$/L 20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		7.5			16	
20 11 20 16	20 \$/L 11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		7.5			8	
11 20 16	11 \$/L 20 \$/L 16 \$/L		36			36	
16	20 \$ / L 16 \$ / L		11			11	
16	16 \$ / L		1.5			2	
C			0			0	
	0 \$/T		10.8			11	
			0			0	
	1.5 \$ / L		13.5			14	
n Farm Varia	iable Costs :	:	264.27	Total Varia	able Costs :	264	
				Cash Gro	oss Margin:	650	
				Plus Grain	Retained :	0	
Gross Ma	Margin / Ha:	:	650.48	Total Gro	oss Margin :	650	
			n Variable Costs :		Cash Gro	Cash Gross Margin : Plus Grain Retained :	Cash Gross Margin: 650 Plus Grain Retained: 0

SANDERS	O I UN I	OKEAU				2015		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha		\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	5.82	
Oroco Rotaini		5.82		243			1414.26	Tonnes Sold :	5.82	
		0.00							0.02	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges									
Total, include	s road	5.82		19.45			113.199	Gross Cash	1414.26	
and rail freigh	t, and	5.82		0			0	Total Marketing Cost	113.199	
delivery fees		5.82		0			0			
4		5.82		0			0	Net Payment	1301.061	
		Total Cha	rges	19.45			113.199	Harvest Payment	1301.061	1009
								Post Harvest	0	09
		Net Price		223.55			1301.061	Pool Remaining	0	09
Variable Cost	s	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
Seed		68	kg/ha	0.243	\$ / kg		16.524		17	
Seed Dressing	ζ		mL/Ha		\$/L		3.5		4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		0		0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel		L/ha		\$/L		2.5		3	
Chemical 6	Tilt		L/ha		\$/L		8		8	
Insurance	1110	1%		10	Ψ/ -		14.1		14	
Freight			T / ha	n	\$/T		0		0	
Fuel			L/ha		\$/L		13.5		14	
					77-		20.0			
		1	Total On Fa	ırm Varial	ble Costs :		223	Total Variable Costs :	223	
								Cash Gross Margin :	1078	
								Plus Grain Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		1078	Total Gross Margin :	1078	
Break Even Y	ield :	0.92								
Break Even P	rice :	38.31								
Sensitivity An	alysis		(Calc	ulated on	223	variable co	osts)			
Shows approx										
Does not take	into acco	unt change		nce or freig Price \$ / t		d changes -	would hav	ve a minor effect on GM		
		t / Ha	243	245.5						
High Yield		5.82		1093						
Average Yield	<u> </u>	5.49		1018						
Low Yield	_	5.15		941						
		50	320	5.1	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					

SANDER						2015		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	l	\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	3.8	
		3.80		420			1596	Tonnes Sold :	3.8	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	narges									
Total - road fr	reight	3.8		32.15			122.17	Gross Cash	1596	
delivery and		3.8		0			0	Total Marketing Cost	122.17	
3	1	3.8		0			0			
4	ı	3.8		0			0	Net Payment	1473.83	
		Total Cha	rges	32.15			122.17		1473.83	1009
		Net Price		387.85			1473.83	Post Harvest Pool Remaining	0	09 09
Variable Cos	ıts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
C			L= / /:-	2.12	ć / I. ·		20.50		20	
Seed			kg/ha		\$ / kg		28.56		29	
Seed Dressing	1		kg/ha		\$/kg \$/T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser Fertiliser 2	DAP UAN		kg / ha I/ha		\$/ 1 \$/ 1000L		0.0		0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/1000L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	LVE		L/ha		\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel		L/ha		\$/L		2.5		3	
Insurance		1%					16.0		16	
Freight		3.8	T / ha	0	\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
		7	Гotal On F	arm Varial	ble Costs :		225.32	Total Variable Costs :	225	
								0	1240	
								Cash Gross Margin : Plus Grain Retained :	1249 0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		1248.51	Total Gross Margin :	1249	
Break Even \	/ield :	0.54								
Break Even F	Price :	59.29								
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cal	culated on	225.32	variable cos	sts)			
Shows approx	ximate GM	I/Ha for Hig	h, Average	and Low	ields and	prices achiev	ed in tria	als		
Does not take	into acco	unt change	s to insura	nce or frei		d changes - w	ould hav	ve a minor effect on GM		
		t / Ha	400	415	420					
High Yield		3.98								
Average Yiel	d	3.57								
Low Yield		3.11	919	965	981					

WANDER	EAH BI	READ				2015		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha		\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	4.22	
Gross Return		4.22		242			1021.24	Tonnes Sold :	4.22	
		4.22		242			1021.24	Tollies Sold .	4.22	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	narges									
							450.50		1001.01	
Total - include		4.22		35.67			150.53	Gross Cash	1021.24	
and rail freigh		4.22		0			0	Total Marketing Cost	150.5274	
delivery fees		4.22		0			0	Nu B	070 7426	
4		4.22		0			0	Net Payment	870.7126	
		Total Cha	rges	35.67			150.53	Harvest Payment	870.7126	100%
								Post Harvest	0	09
		Net Price		206.33			870.71	Pool Remaining	0	09
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
Seed			kg/ha		\$ / kg		16.456		16	
Seed Dressing	1		mL/Ha		\$/L		3.5		4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$ / 1000L		0		0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	LVE		L/ha		\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel		L/ha		\$/L		2.5		3	
Chemical 6	Tilt		L/ha	16	\$/L		0		0	
Insurance		1%					10.2		10	
Freight			T/ha		\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
		-	Total On Fa	arm Varial	ble Costs :		210.97	Total Variable Costs :	211	
									550	
								Cash Gross Margin : Plus Grain Retained :	660	
								Pius Grain Retained :	U	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		659.74	Total Gross Margin :	660	
Break Even Y	/ield :	0.87								
Break Even F	Price :	49.99								
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cald	culated on	210.97	variable co	osts)			
Shows approx						•				
Does not take	into acco	unt change	s to insura			d changes -	would hav	e a minor effect on GM		
				Price \$ / t						
		t / Ha	238	241	242					
High Yield		4.22	643	656	660					
Average Yiel	d	4.08	615	627	631					
Low Yield		3.83	564	575	579					

WANDER	EAN DI					2015		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	2.81	
		2.81		350			983.5	Tonnes Sold :	2.81	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
								Tollines Netumeu		
Marketing Ch	arges									
Total - include		2.81		32.15			90.34	Gross Cash	983.5	
freight, delive	ery fee	2.81		0			0.00	Total Marketing Cost	90.3415	
and EPR		2.81		0			0.00			
4		2.81		0			0.00	Net Payment	893.1585	
		Total Cha	rges	32.15			90.34	Harvest Payment	893.1585	1009
		Net Price		317.85			893.16	Post Harvest Pool Remaining	0	09
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
Seed		80	kg/ha	0.35	\$ / kg		28		28	
Seed Dressing	3		kg/ha		\$ / kg		0		0	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN	0	I/ha	750	\$/ 1000L		0		0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax	2	L/ha	8	\$/L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker	0.15	L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo	1.8	L/ha	20	\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	MCPA	0.5	L/ha	11	\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha	20	\$/L		2.5		3	
Insurance		1%					9.8		10	
Freight		2.8	T / ha		\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
		7	Γotal On F	arm Varial	ble Costs :		218.64	Total Variable Costs :	219	
								Cash Gross Margin :	675	
								Plus Grain Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		674.52	Total Gross Margin :	675	
Break Even Y	ield :	0.62								
Break Even P	rice :	77.81								
Sensitivity Ar	alysis		(Calo	culated on	218.6	variable co	sts)			
Shows approx										
Does not take	into acco	unt change	s to insura	nce or frei		d changes -	would hav	re a minor effect on GM		
		t / Ha	214							
High Yield		2.99								
Average Yiel	d	2.90								
Low Yield		2.81								

ILLLAN	NA BRE	AD				2015		Total Produc	tion HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$/t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes	Produced :	5.75	
		5.75		250			1437.5		nnes Sold :	5.75	
								Tonnes	Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	narges										
Total - includ	es road and	5.75		26.68			153.41		Gross Cash	1437.5	
rail freight an		5.75		20.00			155.41		eting Cost	153.41	
3		5.75		0			0	Total Mair	eting cost	155.41	
3		5.75		0			0	Ne	t Payment	1284.09	
-		3.73					U	, , ,	c r dymene	1204.03	
		Total Cha	rges	26.68			153.41	Harves	t Payment	1284.09	1009
								Po	st Harvest	0	09
		Net Price		223.32			1284.09	Pool	Remaining	0	09
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$				
Seed		ES	kg/ha	0.25	\$ / kg		17			17	
Seed Dressin	σ		mL/Ha		\$/ Kg \$/L		3.5			4	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/ha		\$/T		99.8			100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		L/ha		\$/1000L		0			0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/L		16			16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5			8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36			36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5			6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel		L/ha		\$/L		2.5			3	
Chemical 6	Tilt		L/ha		\$/L		0			0	
Insurance		1%	-				14.4			14	
Freight		5.8	T / ha	0	\$/T		0			0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5			14	
		1	Fotal On Fa	arm Varial	ble Costs :		215.68	Total Varia	ble Costs :	216	
								Cash Gros	ss Margin : Retained :	1068	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha:		1068.42	Total Gros	ss Margin :	1068	
Break Even Y	(ield :	0.86									
Break Even F	Price :	37.51									
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cald	culated on	216	variable co	osts)				
Shows approx	ximate GM/	⊥ Ha for High	, Average a	and Low yi	elds and pi	rices achiev	ed in trial:	s			
								a minor effect on G	М		
				Price \$ / t							
		t / Ha	207	239	250						
High Yield		6.4	938	1145	1214						
Average Yiel	d	5.82	834	1022	1085						
Low Yield		5.21	724	892	948						

YEELANI						2015		Total Production HA	1	
Gross Return		Yield t / Ha	1	\$ / t			\$ / Ha	Tonnes Produced :	5.28	
		5.28		350			1848	Tonnes Sold :	5.28	
								Tonnes Retained :	0	
Marketing Ch	arges									
Total - include	es road	5.28		52.35			276.41	Gross Cash	1848	
freight, delive		5.28		0			0.00		276.408	
and EPR		5.28		0			0.00			
4		5.28		0			0.00	Net Payment	1571.592	
		Total Cha	rges	52.35			276.41	Harvest Payment		1009
		Net Price		297.65			1571.59	Post Harvest Pool Remaining	0	09 09
Variable Cos	ts	Quantity	Unit	\$ / Unit	Unit		\$			
Sood		90	ka / ha	0.25	¢ / ka		20		20	
Seed Dressing	7		kg / ha kg / ha		\$ / kg \$ / kg		28 0		28	
Fertiliser	DAP		kg/na kg/ha		\$ / Kg \$ / T		99.8		100	
Fertiliser 2	UAN		I/ha		\$/ 1000L		99.8		0	
Chemical 1	Ultramax		L/ha		\$/1000L		16		16	
Chemical 2	Striker		L/ha		\$/L		7.5		8	
Chemical 3	Jedi Duo		L/ha		\$/L		36		36	
Chemical 4	MCPA		L/ha		\$/L		5.5		6	
Chemical 5	Lontrel	0.125	L/ha		\$/L		2.5		3	
Insurance		1%					18.5		18	
Freight		5.3	T / ha	0	\$/T		0		0	
Fuel		9	L/ha	1.5	\$/L		13.5		14	
		7	Γotal On F	arm Varial	ble Costs :		227.28	Total Variable Costs :	227	
								Cash Gross Margin :	1344	
								Plus Grain Retained :	0	
				Gross Ma	rgin / Ha :		1344.31	Total Gross Margin :	1344	
Break Even Y	ield :	0.65								
Break Even F	Price :	43.05								
Sensitivity Ar	nalysis		(Cal	culated on	227.28	variable cos	sts)			
Shows approx	kimate GM	/Ha for Hig	h, Average	and Low y	ields and	prices achiev	ved in tria	als		
Does not take	into acco	unt change	s to insura	nce or frei	Ī .	d changes - v	vould hav	ve a minor effect on GM		
		t / Ha								
High Yield		5.28								
Average Yield Low Yield	d	4.77								
		4.08	987	1038	1191					